

REMARKS

This application has been carefully studied and amended in view of the Office Action dated July 23, 2008. Reconsideration of that action is requested in view of the following.

Figures 5 and 6 have been added to illustrate the subject matter of Claims 7-9, 11-13 and 17-19. This amendment is being made to comply with the objection to the drawings. Figure 1 has been amended to label it as prior art.

The indication of allowability of Claims 6-19 is noted with appreciation. Those claims have now been written in independent form. Specifically, Claims 10-15 have been written in independent form. Claims 6-9 are dependent directly or indirectly on Claim 14. Claims 16-19 are dependent directly or indirectly on Claim 15. Rejected Claims 1, 2 and 5 have been canceled to advance the prosecution of this case. In writing the various claims in independent form the rejection of Claim 1 has been taken into account. In that regard, the words "the column" have been replaced by "a column".

Since there are now a total of six independent claims a supplemental fee is being provided with regard to the three independent claims in excess of three.

Because Claim 1 has been canceled the rejection of Claim 1 on the ground of obviousness-type double patenting no longer applies.

Since all of the claims now remaining in this application have been indicated as containing allowable subject matter and in view of the additional drawings to illustrate the subject matter of various claims, this application should be passed to issue.

Dated: October 10, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Harold Pezzner/
Harold Pezzner

Registration No.: 22,112
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

Application No. 10/566,935
Amendment dated October 10, 2008
After Final Office Action of July 23, 2008

Docket No.: 13111-00062-US

1007 North Orange Street
P. O. Box 2207
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2207
(302) 658-9141
(302) 658-5614 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant